

# Is it Wrong to Kill my Future Self?

Brendan Leier, PhD

Clinical Ethicist, University of Alberta and Stollery Children's Hospitals,  
Mazankowski Heart Institute

Clinical Assistant Professor Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry  
John Dossetor Health Ethics Centre

## case

When Jen W was 60 years old, her 81 year old mother was diagnosed with a moderate dementia and was moved to a nursing home focusing on neurologically impaired residents. In the next year, Mrs. W's memory declined rapidly including the loss of capacity to recognize even immediate members of her family. In this same time period, Jen became very upset by her mother's relationship with a strange elderly man living at the same facility. Although Jen found this relationship to be inappropriate, she felt the staff at the facility did not do enough to fulfill her request that her mother not be allowed to fraternize with her new friend. Mrs. W died two years later, but the course of her illness left her daughter with significant distress.

## Cont...

As a result, Jen decides in her mid-sixties to create a personal directive stating that, in the event she acquires a degenerative and irreversible neurological condition like her mother's dementia, when certain clinical criteria were fulfilled, she would like to undertake/receive MAID. The directive named an agent (her daughter) and mentioned a list of sufficient criteria to activate the request including: significant loss of memory, enduring inability to recognize friends and family, enduring incontinence or inability to maintain basic self-care, and/or enduring dysphagia.

# Advanced Directives in MAID Requests



Why this question, why now?

# Advanced Directives in MAID Requests

Why this question, why now?

- Clause 10 of the Bill, Parliamentary review. Parliamentary review of the provisions of the Act would be launched 5 years after its coming into force.

# Advanced Directives in MAID Requests

Why this question, why now?

- Clause 10 of the Bill, Parliamentary review. Parliamentary review of the provisions of the Act would be launched 5 years after its coming into force.
- We have a year of experience now.

# Advanced Directives in MAID Requests

Why this question, why now?

- Clause 10 of the Bill, Parliamentary review. Parliamentary review of the provisions of the Act would be launched 5 years after its coming into force.
- We have a year of experience now.
- The unpredictable overlapping of rules and regulations, the uncertainty of process, and the under-representation of stakeholders, presents significant potential burden to bedside clinicians.

## Arguments for MAID via PD

- The very purpose of Personal Directives are to enable competent creators to clearly define their values and desires should they lose the capacity to make day to day decisions. If MAID is considered a medical intervention, there is no prima facie reason to exclude it from a continuum of care offered to anyone who has the capacity to choose. If the principle of autonomy grounds the right of competent patients to consent to, or refuse, even life-sustaining treatment, then the PD as an extension of an autonomous choice should not be excluded in one specific circumstance without a clear rationale.

## Arguments for MAID via PD

- In our current regulative structure, patients are required to have capacity to consent to MAID at the time of the intervention. A loss of capacity, even after the MAID request, disqualifies the patient from receiving MAID. The status quo results in increased patient anxiety about fulfilling criteria, timing, and potentially having the window of MAID closed. It can also potentially cause patients to rush to choose MAID based on the limited window of opportunity rather than the ultimate desire to end their lives.

# Bill C-14



- being an adult (at least 18 years old) who is mentally competent (“capable”) to make health care decisions for themselves;
- having a grievous and irremediable medical condition (as defined under subsection 241.2(2));
- making a voluntary request for medical assistance in dying which does not result from external pressure;
- giving informed consent to receive medical assistance in dying; and,
- being eligible for health services funded by a government.

# Bill C-14 interpretation of ‘grievous and irremediable’



- having a serious and incurable illness, disease or disability; and,
- being in an advanced state of irreversible decline in capability; and,
- experiencing enduring physical or psychological suffering, due to the illness, disease, disability or state of decline, that is intolerable to the person and cannot be relieved in a manner that they consider acceptable; and,
- where the person’s natural death has become reasonably foreseeable taking into account all of their medical circumstances, without requiring a specific prognosis as to the length of time the person has left to live.

# So what's the problem?

1. Although the law seems much less controversial, ethically, philosophically speaking, the argument in support of MAID requests via PD seems to rely on the hidden premise that the person who writes the PD is in some relevant respect the same as the person who will be killed as a result of the implementation of the MAID request.

# So what's the problem?

1. Although the law seems much less controversial, ethically, philosophically speaking, the argument in support of MAID requests via PD seems to rely on the hidden premise that the person who writes the PD is in some relevant respect the same as the person who will be killed as a result of the implementation of the MAID request.

But what does this mean?

I argue that what we really want is some certainty that Mark at time 1 ( $t_1$ ) is in some meaningful respect similar enough to Mark at time N ( $t_n$ ) such that, the Mark( $t_n$ ) would still want what Mark( $t_1$ ) wanted.

# Personal Identity Through Time

- What is the 'person' of personhood? What is the 'relevant sameness' we should desire? This takes us some steps beyond the identification of a body (for instance).

# Personal Identity Through Time

- What is the 'person' of personhood? What is the 'relevant sameness' we should desire? This takes us some steps beyond the identification of a body (for instance).
- It shouldn't be difficult, after all, what do we have the most direct and intuitive knowledge of if not ourselves and what it is to be a self through time?

## David Hume (1711-1776)

“There are some philosophers, who imagine we are every moment conscious of what we call our SELF; that we feel its existence and continuance in existence; and are certain, beyond the evidence of a demonstration, both of its perfect identity and simplicity. ...[But] from what impression could this idea be deriv’d? ...For my part, when I enter most intimately into what I call *myself*, I always stumble on some particular perception or other, of heat or cold, light or shade, love or hatred, pain or pleasure. I never can catch *myself* at any time without a perception, and never can observe anything but the perception.”

# Ludwig Wittgenstein (early)

5.631

The thinking, presenting subject; there is no such thing.

If I wrote a book "The world as I found it", I should also have therein to report on my body and say which members obey my will and which do not, etc. This then would be a method of isolating the subject or rather of showing that in an important sense there is no subject: that is to say, of it alone in this book mention could not be made.

# Theories of Identity



What are some historical criteria for personal identity through time?

# Theories of Identity

What are some historical criteria for personal identity through time?

- Certain type of sameness (numerical identity)
-

# Theories of Identity

What are some historical criteria for personal identity through time?

- Certain type of sameness (numerical identity)
- Psychological attributes (John Locke)
  - Memory
  - Continuity i.e. causality through time
  - Character
  - Goals
  - Values

# Theories of Identity

What are some historical criteria for personal identity through time?

- Certain type of sameness (numerical identity)
- Psychological attributes (John Locke)
- Somatic (bodily) similarity

# Bring on the thought experiments...

- The Prince and the Cobbler
- The Ship of Theseus
- Brain/Body Switch
- The Transporter
- Transporter 2 – Return of the Transporter
- Brendan to Ann-Margret

Newman, G., Bloom, P. & Knobe, J. (2014). Value Judgments and the True Self. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40, 203-216.



Newman, G., De Freitas, J. & Knobe, J. (2015). Beliefs About the True Self Explain Asymmetries Based on Moral Judgment. *Cognitive Science*, 39, 96-125.

## Josh Knobe's 'Mark'



Newman, G., Bloom, P. & Knobe, J. (2014). Value Judgments and the True Self. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40, 203-216.

Newman, G., De Freitas, J. & Knobe, J. (2015). Beliefs About the True Self Explain Asymmetries Based on Moral Judgment. *Cognitive Science*, 39, 96-125.

## Josh Knobe's 'Markus'



Newman, G., Bloom, P. & Knobe, J. (2014). Value Judgments and the True Self. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40, 203-216.



Newman, G., De Freitas, J. & Knobe, J. (2015). Beliefs About the True Self Explain Asymmetries Based on Moral Judgment. *Cognitive Science*, 39, 96-125.

So, it's not that...

People always believe your beliefs are your true self

And it's not that...

People always believe that your emotions are your true self

But...

whichever they regard as the good part, the part worth preserving, that is the good part. They think that is your true self.

# So what's the problem?

1. Although the law seems much less controversial, ethically, philosophically speaking, the argument in support of MAID requests via PD seems to rely on the hidden premise that the person who writes the PD is in some relevant respect the same as the person who will be killed as a result of the implementation of the MAID request.
2. We may have good reason to doubt that  $\text{Mark}_{(t1)}$ ,  $\text{Mark}_{(t2)}$ , ...  $\text{Mark}_{(tn)}$  are the same person in the non-trivial ways we are attempting to identify.

## So what's the problem?

1. Although the law seems much less controversial, ethically, philosophically speaking, the argument in support of MAID requests via PD seems to rely on the hidden premise that the person who writes the PD is in some relevant respect the same as the person who will be killed as a result of the implementation of the MAID request.
2. We may have good reason to doubt that  $\text{Mark}_{(t1)}$ ,  $\text{Mark}_{(t2)}$ , ...  $\text{Mark}_{(tn)}$  are the same person in the non-trivial ways we are attempting to identify.

Therefore: We have an obligation to ask the question, what right does  $\text{Mark}_{(t1)}$  have the right to take the life of  $\text{Mark}_{(tn)}$ ? Or, what claim could  $\text{Mark}_{(tn)}$  have to continue life despite  $\text{Mark}_{(t1)}$ 's plans for him?

# Why should we care about...



- Philosophical abstractions like personal identity?

# Why should we care about...

- Philosophical abstractions like personal identity?
- or autonomy?

# So what's the problem?



Are there any analogies in healthcare?

# So what's the problem?

Are there any analogies in healthcare?

- autonomy (as protection from tyranny)

# So what's the problem?

Are there any analogies in healthcare?

- autonomy (as protection from tyranny)
- Autonomy as PD or Ulysses contract

# So what's the problem?

Is there any precedent in healthcare to appeal to?

- autonomy (as protection from technological tyranny)
- Autonomy as PD or Ulysses contract
- Autonomy as submission

## Part 2.

- Case discussion
- Review of status internationally
- An attempt at what an ethical example of MAID via PD could actually look like.

Please feel free to ask questions or comment: [bleier@ualberta.ca](mailto:bleier@ualberta.ca)